

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/707,844	11/08/2000	Hidetoshi Ishida	0819-448	0819-448 9493	
22204 7	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			FARAHAN	FARAHANI, DANA	
401 9TH STRI SUITE 900	EET, NW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/707,844	ISHIDA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Dana Farahani	2814	P~~J			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	L	L	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 2/27/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		·				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repich places the application	cation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The decided have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims with the control of the control o			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-10</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. ☐ Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claim 6 recites, in part, two groups of through holes, which are not necessarily different. Furtheremore, electrodes 10a and 10d are adjacent to each other, as can be seen in the figure of the reference.

LENG PHANNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER